





CUSP thematic workshop series: Human Risk Assessment of MNPs

Workshop 2: Human health risk assessment frameworks for micro- and nanoplastics

Why it is challenging for MNP risk assessment (RA) to conform to traditional RA approaches, and how can AURORA sufficiently assess risk to early-life health

Matthew Boyles, Institute of Occupational Medicine, UK AURORA partner









This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under AURORA grant agreement No 964827





Content of this session

Background to AURORA project

Examples of existing frameworks or guidance

Current status of AURORA

Requirments

for MNP RA

Session description for: Why it is challenging for MNP risk assessment (RA) to conform to traditional RA approaches, and how can AURORA sufficiently assess risk to early-life health.

This session will look into why there are challenges faced when endeavouring to use accepted models of RA to sufficiently address the diverse risks posed by MNPs; the wide-ranging hazards of MNPs will be identified, as will their extensive routes of exposure, these will form the basis to advocate certain RA frameworks and concepts that may contribute to a holistic approach for MNP RA, and how further considerations are needed for the AURORA consortium to align with their requirement to address earlylife health.







- Actionable EUropean ROadmap for Early-life Health Risk Assessment of Micro- and Nanoplastics
- Prof. Roel Vermeulen, UMC Utrecht / Utrecht University
- Coordinator AURORA
- Focusing on a vulnerable period:
 - pregnancy & early-life
 - exposure estimates
 - maternal reproductive health
 - placental transport and function
 - child development





OM

Risk assessment – general principles

To characterise risk, you need to:

- Know the material properties;
- Identify the hazard, i.e. the potential of a substance to cause harm;
- Understand the probability for a substance to cause harm;
- Contextualise the risk.











Risk characterisation







Substance of concern – RA in the context of MNP characteristics



Substance of concern – RA in the context of MNP characteristics

Intrinsic properties **Properties influenced/altered by exogenous factors** versus















Exposure assessment – in the context of MNP characteristics



IOM





Risk characterisation









ICCA Guidance on Chemical Risk Assessment (2011)

⊃M 🥯

- Provides technical advice that is pragmatic and simplified;
- Guidance allows for two stages:

Preparation:

- Selection of substances;
- Gathering information;
- Allocating priority tiers;
- Reassessment of relevant info according to priority level assigned.

Implementation:

- Characterisation of the hazard;
- Assessing exposure;
- Risk characterisation based on hazard and exposure;
- Document outcomes.





ICCA Guidance on Chemical Risk Assessment (2011)



- To define which e.g. phys-chem properties are highest priority, or which MNP sources are highest priority;
- Although these questions may not be suitable, the concept is useful.





WHO human health risk assessment toolkit/roadmap (2021)



Provides guidance on chemical risk assessment, includes

- Advice of sourcing and using relevant information;
- Stepwise approaches describing HHRA requirements;
- Does not provide guidance on risk management nor risk communication.

The generic road map follows conventional RA:

- Problem formulation;
- Hazard identification;
- Exposure assessment;
- Risk characterisation.

But then offer detailed and step-wise procedures:





WHO human health risk assessment toolkit/roadmap (2021)

Provides guidance on chemical risk assessment, includes

- Advice of sourcing and using relevant information;
- Stepwise approaches describing HHRA requirements;
- Does not provide guidance on risk management nor risk communication.

The generic road map follows conventional RA:

- Problem formulation;
- Hazard identification;
- Exposure assessment;
- Risk characterisation.

But then offer detailed and step-wise procedures:

- Through Q&A for each step;
- By providing a tiered strategy;
- By offering a decision tree function;

Problem formulation					
What is the objective, approach and scope of the risk assessment?	Clear idea of the objective and scope of the assessment, the resources available and the approach to be followed				
What is the risk management goal and the acceptable degree of uncertainty?	Clear vision of what is needed to achieve the risk management goal				
Is the identity of the chemical known?	Clear identification of chemical in question through Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry number				
Hazard identification					
Are the potential hazards to human health caused by the chemical known?	Description of health hazards obtained from internationally available information				







IATA and tiered testing



Case studies for assessment purpose



Case studies for specific endpoints





Human Health

17 IATAs (including sub- IATAs)

- 6 for inhalation route
- 7 for oral route
- 4 for dermal route



RA for RA for chemicals particles

IATA and tiered testing

Dermal exposure to nanoforms

Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment for Grouping Nanomaterials following Dermal Exposure. Luisana di Cristo, Gemma Janer, Susan Dekkers, Matthew Boyles, Anna Giusti, Johannes G. Keller, Wendel Wohlleben, Hedwig Braakhuis, Lan Ma-Hock, Agnes G. Oomen, Andrea Haase, Vicki Stone, Fiona Murphy, Helinor J Johnston and Stefania Sabella. Nanotoxicology, 2022







IATA for H-D-2. Blue bordered boxes are decision nodes, red bordered boxes are hypothesis conclusions, black bordered boxes describe options to consider.

	Decision node on NF composition	Decision node on dissolution (sweat and phagolysosomal fluide)	Decision node on NF particle size	Desision node on NF particle aggiomeratoni aggregation	Decision node on Surface PC properties (hydrophobicity and reactivity)	
	1		$\overline{\nabla}$	1		
	L	Review existing data				
TIER 1	Chemical composition natives and CLP investigation oppeortion concentration limits Reveals (RCN 15 60004-6:2021)	In vitro dissolution assay in sweat fluide Preferred method (BC/TF1 Block 72-17) dissolution using (BC/TF1 Block 72-17) dissolution using assay in phagolysoscial fluid fluid assolution assay in phagolysoscial fluid Preferred in do Preferred in do Prefere	Constituent particle size distribution Protectors mechanic TEM (ISOPPRF 21343), AFM (ISO 19665-0746, Venn (ISOP15-53104- 22521)	Size analysis on NF aggiomerate.seggro getes Profered memori LS. ((SOTS BOCK- Cast), MTA, (SOTS BOCK- BORTS BOCK- BORTS BOCK- BORTS BOCK-	Hydrophobicity Preferred method: Surface caronact ang n determination (Narroccale: 11, 17837-17651, 2019) Preferred methods: DCFI (2-DA (Naterials 2020, 13(7)), 2235, EPR (Not 19827, 1448) (Naterials 3020, 13(1)), 2235)	
N	[Review existing data				
HE .	In vitro cellular based assays Protored methods: OECD 2014					
10	F.		Review existing data			
Æ		P	In vivo assays referred methods: OECD 20	11		

Figure 5: TTS developed for each DN of the IATAs for hypotheses H-D-1, H-D-2, H-D-3 and H-D-4. The TTS provides specific acellular in vitro methods to use to satisfy each DN of the dermal IATAs in Tier 1 and more general cellular in vitro and in vivo methods to evaluate the specific hazard endpoints (i.e., dermal irritation, sensitization and toxicity) at Tier 2 and Tier 3, respectively.



RA for particles

Covered previously



NanoRiskCat | •

A decision-making framework for the grouping and testing of nanomaterials (DF4nanoGrouping)



Josje H.E. Arts^a, Mackenzie Hadi^b, Muhammad-Adeel Irfan^c, Athena M. Keene^d, Reinhard Kreiling^e, Delina Lyon^f, Monika Maier^g, Karin Michel^h, Thomas Petryⁱ, Ursula G. Sauer^j, David Warheit^k, Karin Wiench^c, Wendel Wohlleben^c, Robert Landsiedel^{c,*}







Sustainable Nanotechnologies Project

O

RA for mixtures

Assessing combined risk from exposure to multiple chemicals or multifaceted MNPs



Individual components combined to a potential mixtures exposure?

MNP chemical composition:

- 5300 polymer formulations; 2400 plastic-related substances of potential concern
- Monomers and oligomers
- Chemical additives (up to 50% weight) (e.g., plasticizers, flame retardants, stabilizers, pigments, biocides)
- Non-intentionally added substances (i.e., impurities, reaction by-products, degradation products)

Adsorbed/absorbed:

Microbes/bioflims, chemicals, metals

A Mixture Assessment Factor (MAF).

Harmonised risk assessment methodologies – when sufficient data and resources are available.





Weithmann et al Science Advances 2018; Wiesinger et al. Environ Sci Technol 2021



RA for mixtures

Assessing combined risk from exposure to multiple chemicals or multifaceted MNPs



A Mixture Assessment Factor (MAF).

- Reported recently by the Swedish Chemicals Agency (KEMI)¹ and undergoing consideration for use within REACH;
- Used in data-poor scenarios;
- Not to be used to replace full mixture risk assessment when sufficient data are available;
- Predicts a risk based on the sum of calculated Risk Quotient (RQ);
- E.g. PEC/PNEC ratio or Exposure/DNEL ratio
- If <1 considered safe, if >1 considered unsafe.

Harmonised risk assessment methodologies – when sufficient data and resources are available.



¹KEMI. 2021, Improving the regulatory assessment of combination effects: steps towards implementing the mixture assessment factor (MAF) in chemical regulation. pp 1-61. : s.n., 2021. Article number: 511 421.

RA for mixtures

Assessing combined risk from exposure to multiple chemicals or multifaceted MNPs



A Mixture Assessment Factor (MAF).

Harmonised risk assessment methodologies – when sufficient data and resources are available.

- Various framework suggestions e.g. from OECD (2018), EFSA (2019), WHO (2009), and others;
- Follows general/ more traditional RA format;
- Provide risk characterisation for the whole mixture and component-based approaches.





OECD (2018); CONSIDERATIONS FOR ASSESSING THE RISKS OF COMBINED EXPOSURE TO MULTIPLE CHEMICALS; Series on Testing and Assessment No. 296.



Health Risk Assessment of PET Bottles in GCC

M. M. Mortula

MNPs as a whole:







Single components or MNPs as a whole?

OM

MNPs as a whole:

Risk assessment of microplastic particles

Albert A. Koelmans₀⊠, Paula E. Redondo-Hasselerharm₀, Nur Hazimah Mohamed Norゥ, Vera N. de Ruijter₀, Svenja M. Mintenig and Merel Kooi₀

- Optimised 'Problem definition' to include a protective objective;
- Uses probability density functions (PDFs) to better define multifaceted risk/toxicity;
- Exposure dose and effect thresholds determined according to predetermined metrics;
- The defined exposure & effect profile aligned to their 'microplastic continuum'



Koelmans 2022; doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00411- y.





Single components or MNPs as a whole?

Check for updates



MNPs as a whole:

Paradigms to assess the human health risks of nano- and microplastics

Seta Noventa^{1*}, Matthew S. P. Boyles², Andreas Seifert³⁴, Simone Belluco⁵, Aracaeli Sánchez Jiménez⁶, Helinor J. Johnston⁷, Lang Tran², Teresa F. Fernandes⁸, Lapo Mughini-Gras⁹, Massimiliano Orsini⁵, Fabiana Corami¹⁰, Kepa Castro¹¹, Franco Mutinelli¹², Massimo Boldrin¹², Victor Puntes^{13,14}, Mahshid Sotoudeh¹⁵, Giulia Mascarello¹⁶, Barbara Tiozzo¹⁶, Polly McLean², Francesca Ronchi¹, Andy M. Booth¹⁷, Albert A. Koelmans¹⁸, and Carmen Losasso^{19*}

- Follows the traditional 4 pillars of RA
- Introduces 4 paradigms to better define/measure MNP HH continuum;
 - Advancing methods for MNP detection,
 - Empirical data on occurrence and effects of MNPs,
 - Modelling exposure and effect, and uncertainty,
 - Engagement with e.g. government & regulators.



Noventa et al. 2021; https://doi.org/10.1186/s43591-021-00011-1





Next steps for RA within the AURORA project







Next steps for RA within the AURORA project













